



Österreichisches Institut für Internationale Politik
Austrian Institute for International Affairs

Trends in International Politics 2023

How to rebuild Europe's security architecture?

Trend Report 6 / January 2023

Loïc Simonet

Loïc Simonet is Affiliated Researcher at the oiip. He started his career at the French Defence Ministry in Paris. In 2008, he was appointed as Politico-Military Counsellor of the French Permanent Representation to the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE) in Vienna and, in 2013, he joined the Secretariat of the OSCE as Senior External Co-operation Officer, until June 2021. In this capacity, he liaised with the European Union and NATO.

Impressum:

Österreichisches Institut für Internationale Politik – oiip,

Austrian Institute for International Affairs

A-1090 Vienna, Währinger Straße 3/12, www.oiip.ac.at, info@oiip.ac.at

Copyright © 2023

Russia's war on Ukraine is overturning the European security order in place since the end of the Cold War. The *Helsinki Final Act (1975)*, the *Charter of Paris for a New Europe (1990)*, the *1992 Helsinki Summit*, as well as *the fragile acquis of the Organization for Security and Co-operation in Europe (OSCE)*, failed to build and maintain a system involving all countries of 'Greater Europe', including the Russian Federation. For at least two decades, Russia has expressed discomfort regarding its perceived status in a 'concert of nations' dominated, in its perception, by the Atlantic Alliance and the European Union. Still referring to a conception of security based on spheres of influence, it has considered NATO's eastward expansion as a threat to its national security (Russian National Security Strategy, 2015, 15).

"Only during 'critical junctures' – relatively rare watershed moments marked by rapid change and upheaval – are security architectures likely to be fundamentally reconfigured" (Hyde-Price, 2014, 105). The war in Ukraine is already listed as such a turning point in history. In addition to continuing efforts to support Ukraine and stabilize the situation on the battlefield, the time seems ripe for an in-depth assessment of Europe's security regime. Many might argue that it makes little sense to discuss architecture while the house is burning. I answer that it is our responsibility to stress our preparedness and explore all opportunities. Just increasing military expenditures cannot be the only option. We

need a 'Code of Conduct' for the 21st Century that would allow no more – real or perceived - 'security vacuums'. This is in no way intended to accept the Russian narrative. Even during the Cold War, European countries were able to progress in building a common security architecture through dialogue and negotiations. It was less than a month after the brutal military suppression of the Prague Spring by the Warsaw Pact States, in 1968, that the Finnish Government initiated the process that ended up in the Helsinki Final Act.

A complex issue with no easy answers - or Sisyphus' work revisited

Only defending the *status quo* and our rules-based system against revisionist Russia might not be sufficient. The Russian Federation is not going away. Expecting its defeat, its transformation and even its fragmentation (Panych, 2022) is a dangerous illusion. Our threatening neighbor has a vested interest to be part of a properly functioning European security system. And I assume that such architecture can only be robust if Russia is an active part of it. "Now is the time to think big and imagine a new, more durable order, one that can encompass Russia" (Graham & Menon, 2022). It will require "great courage," former German Chancellor Angela Merkel observed in a recent speech (Merkel, 2022).

Finding the right "umbrella" to devise a more inclusive European security architecture will be another challenge. At first glance, the OSCE seems the best equipped platform to

fulfil a pan-European security agenda requiring a comprehensive approach. Unfortunately, the Vienna organization has been crippled in Ukraine, and its participating States are hardly able to find the necessary consensus even when it comes to decisions of minor importance. Without prejudging its role after the war, the OSCE must restore a sufficient level of internal consensus and cohesion before embarking on a fresh discussion of that kind. NATO and the EU, two other obvious anchor points, neither offer much prospect for success. As for Emmanuel Macron's European Political Community, which met for the first time in Prague on 6 October 2022, it is my belief that it is only a kind of "UFO" with no future. At the end of the day, should U.S.-Russian bilateral talks be the primary negotiating forum?

History shows that negotiations on new regimes usually last decades and cannot be agreed overnight. The 1975 Helsinki Final Act was preceded by lengthy discussions including 2,400 meetings and deliberations on 4,660 proposals. "The starting point is the recognition that American and Russian principles regarding European security are irreconcilable" (Graham & Menon 2022). With such assessment, political will to accept compromise solutions will be required. *Zero-sum* approaches will need to be abandoned, as well as attempts to create spheres of interest/influence: a new security architecture must not amount to a "Yalta 2".

Dialogue alone will not solve the current impasse. A thorough and constructive

inventory of (perceived) threats and challenges might offer a good first step, following the precedent of the EU Strategic Compass (March 2022) and its preliminary '360 degrees' analysis. Identifying cornerstones of European security and acknowledging common interests, especially when it concerns truly vital issues, might also contribute to regain trust. Jointly reviewing existing mechanisms and procedures would allow reconfirm their relevance, their update if necessary, and explore new ones. Ultimately, inclusive discussion will be required on key topics such as arms control, conflict prevention and management, and of course security regimes/guarantees (including for Ukraine and other "in-between" States).

Navigating towards Helsinki+50

2025 will mark the 50th anniversary of the Helsinki Final Act and the 35th anniversary of the Charter of Paris. This double milestone commemoration should provide additional political momentum to strive for a "New Helsinki" spirit, as Pope Francis advocated at the 7th Congress of Leaders of World and Traditional Religions in Nur-Sultan, on 14 September 2022.

The outcome of any effort to create a new security architecture is of course uncertain but it should be attempted, nonetheless. "A British Prime Minister, Harold Macmillan, once said that politicians should fear only one thing – 'events, old boy, events'. In the absence of bold and visionary leadership, Europe's security architecture will be left to

evolve in a piecemeal and incremental manner, driven by reactions to happenstance and the unexpected – in other words, to ‘events, old boy, events’.” (Hyde-

Price 2014, 114). The vision of a brighter future must emerge from the ashes of war.

Bibliography:

- Anthony I. (2022 a). A new security order in Europe. Globsec, https://www.globsec.org/what-we-do/press-releases/new-security-order-europe#_ftn13.
- Brzozowski A. (2022). Macron's idea of 'security guarantees' to Russia faces backlash. *Euractiv*, <https://www.euractiv.com/section/europe-s-east/news/macrons-idea-of-security-guarantees-to-russia-faces-backlash/>.
- Burke-White W. (2022). A Need for a New European Security Architecture. Perry World House, <https://global.upenn.edu/sites/default/files/perry-world-house/burke-whiteukrainethoughtpiece.pdf>.
- Crocker C. A. (2022). Endings and Surprises of the Russia–Ukraine War. *Survival*, 64(5), 183-192.
- Ellehuus R. & Zagorski A. (2019). Restoring the European Security Order. Center for Strategic & International Studies, https://csis-website-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/publication/190313_EllehuusandZagorski_RestoringEuropeanOrder.pdf.
- Faure J. (2021). Macron's Dialogue With Russia: A French Attempt to Fix the European Security Architecture. *Russia Matters*, <https://www.russiamatters.org/analysis/macrons-dialogue-russia-french-attempt-fix-european-security-architecture>.
- Fischer T. (2009a). A mustard seed grew into a bushy tree': The Finnish CSCE initiative of 5 May 1969. Ending the Cold War in Europe. *Cold War History*, 9:2, 177-201.
- Fischer T. (2009a). Looking back, moving forward. How the European security dialogue began. *OSCE Magazine*, Oct.-Nov., 16-17.
- Freudenstein R. (2016). Why there will be no Helsinki II—and why confidence building with Putin's Russia is a bad idea. *European View*, 15(1), 3–11.
- German T. (2017). NATO and the enlargement debate: enhancing Euro-Atlantic security or inciting confrontation?. *International Affairs*, 93(2), 291–308.
- Graham T. & Menon R. (2022). How to Get What We Want From Putin. *Politico*, <https://www.politico.com/news/magazine/2022/01/10/how-to-get-what-we-want-from-putin-526859>.
- Forsberg T. (2019). Russia and the European security order revisited: from the congress of Vienna to the post-cold war. *European Politics and Society*, 20:2, 154-171
- Hyde-Price A. (2014). Europe's Changing Security Architecture. *Strategie und Sicherheit*, 2014(1), 103-114.
- Jones P. (2022). European Security Architecture: Against Russia, or With It?. RUSI, <https://www.rusi.org/explore-our-research/publications/commentary/european-security-architecture-against-russia-or-it>.

- Kapitonenko N. (2022). Where would a new global security architecture leave Ukraine?. IPS <https://www.ips-journal.eu/topics/foreign-and-security-policy/where-would-a-new-global-security-architecture-leave-ukraine-5648/>.
- Kennan G. F. (1997). A Fateful Error. *New York Times*, <https://www.netwargamingitalia.net/forum/resources/george-f-kennan-a-fateful-error.35/>.
- Layton S. (2014). Reframing European security: Russia's proposal for a new European security architecture. *International Relations*, 28(1), 25-45.
- Larson D. W. & Shevchenko A. (2014). Russia says no: Power, status, and emotions in foreign policy. *Communist and Post-Communist Studies*, 47 (3-4), 269–279.
- Lewis P. (2021). Russian treaty proposals hark back to post-Cold War era. Chatham House, <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2021/12/russian-treaty-proposals-hark-back-post-cold-war-era>.
- Lukyanov F. (2016). A Failed New World Order and Beyond: Russian View. *Strategic Analysis*, 40 (6), 474–485.
- Lynch S. & Caulcutt C. (2022). Macron's new political community opens Pandora's box. *Politico*, <https://www.politico.eu/article/macron-new-european-political-community-open-pandora-box/>.
- Mearsheimer J. J. (2014). Why the Ukraine crisis is the West's fault: the liberal delusions that provoked Putin. *Foreign Affairs*, 93(5), 77-89.
- Merkel A. (2022). European security architecture should include Russia. *themanews.com*, <https://en.protothema.gr/former-german-chancellor-merkel-european-security-architecture-should-include-russia/>.
- Nopens P. (2009). A New Security Architecture for Europe? Russian Proposal and Western Reactions. Egmont Institute, Security Policy Brief, 3 <https://www.egmontinstitute.be/a-new-security-architecture-for-europe-russian-proposal-and-western-reactions/>.
- Noyan O. (2022). Merkel defends legacy of her Russia policy amid Ukraine war. *Euractiv*, <https://www.euractiv.com/section/all/news/merkel-defends-legacy-of-her-russia-policy-amid-ukraine-war/>.
- O'Hanlon M. E. (2017 a). Beyond NATO. A New Security Architecture for Eastern Europe. The Marshall Papers, Brookings Institution Press.
- O'Hanlon M. E. (2017 b). An Alternative to NATO Expansion That Won't Antagonize Russia. *The Wall Street Journal* (online), <https://www.wsj.com/articles/an-alternative-to-nato-expansion-that-wont-antagonize-russia-1488144087>.
- Paikin Z. (2022). The European security order after Putin's aggression. Centre for European Policy Studies, <https://www.ceps.eu/the-european-security-order-after-putins-aggression/>.
- Panych O. (2022). Is There Still a Place for Russia in the European Security System?. *Visegrad Insight*, <https://visegradinsight.eu/is-there-still-a-place-for-russia-in-the-european-security-system/>.

- Perović J. (2022). Sicherheitsordnung nach Moskaus Ukraine-Invasion. *Policy Perspectives*, 10(5), CSS ETH Zurich, https://css.ethz.ch/publikationen/css-policy-perspectives/details.html?id=/s/i/c/h/sicherheitsordnung_nach_moskaus_ukrainei.
- Pifer S. (2017). A European security architecture that won't work. Brookings, <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/order-from-chaos/2017/03/01/a-european-security-architecture-that-wont-work/>.
- Pifer S. (2022). Russia's draft agreements with NATO and the United States: Intended for rejection?. Brookings, <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/order-from-chaos/2021/12/21/russias-draft-agreements-with-nato-and-the-united-states-intended-for-rejection/>.
- Pope Francis (2022), Address at the VII Congress of Leaders of World and Traditional religions, Nur-Sultan, Kazakhstan, <https://www.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/speeches/2022/september/documents/20220914-kazakhstan-congresso.html>.
- Quatremer J. (2022). La Communauté politique, objet politique non identifié. *Libération*, 6 oct. 2022, 8-9.
- Remler P. (2019). Russia and Cooperative Security in Europe: Times Change, Tactics Remain. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, <https://carnegieendowment.org/2019/08/01/russia-and-cooperative-security-in-europe-times-change-tactics-remain-pub-79611>.
- Robert Pearson W. (2022). NATO must decide European security beyond the Ukraine war. *The Hill*, <https://thehill.com/opinion/international/3267194-nato-must-decide-european-security-beyond-the-ukraine-war/>.
- Russian National Security Strategy, Dec. 2015, <https://www.ieee.es/Galerias/fichero/OtrasPublicaciones/Internacional/2016/Russian-National-Security-Strategy-31Dec2015.pdf>.
- Russian Federation, "Agreement on measures to ensure the security of The Russian Federation and member States of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization", 17 Dec. 2021, <https://www.mid.ru/ru/detail-material-page/1790803/?lang=en>.
- Sarotte M. E.(2014). A broken promise? What the west really told Moscow about NATO expansion. *Foreign Affairs*, 93(5), 90–97.
- Semo M. & Faye O. (2019). A Brégançon, Emmanuel Macron tend la main à la Russie, 'profondément européenne'. *Le Monde* (online), 20 Aug. 2019, https://www.lemonde.fr/international/article/2019/08/20/a-bregancon-emmanuel-macron-tend-la-main-a-la-russie-profondement-europeenne_5500861_3210.html.
- Shelest H. (2022). European security after Russia's war of aggression in Ukraine. Macdonald-Laurier Institute, <https://macdonaldlaurier.ca/european-security-after-russias-war-of-aggression-in-ukraine/>.

- Spetschinsky L. (2022), « Tenir », Revue de l'actualité euro-russe, Sept. 2022, <https://www.europerussiedebats.org/revue-dactualite-septembre-2022-tenir/>.
- Swoboda H. (2022). Vision quest: How Europe should safeguard its security. European Council on Foreign Relations, <https://ecfr.eu/article/vision-quest-how-europe-should-safeguard-its-security/>.
- Techau J. (2014). Why European Security Works Better Without Russia. Carnegie Europe, <https://carnegieeurope.eu/strategieurope/55461>.
- Tertrais B. (2020). Faire l'Europe avec la Russie? *L'Express*, 3622, 3 Dec. 2020, 46.
- Tsygankov A. P. (2014). The frustrating partnership: honor, status, and emotions in Russia's discourses of the west. *Communist and Post-Communist Studies*, 47(3), 345–354.
- Ward S. M. (2017a). Status and the Challenge of Rising Powers. Cambridge University Press.
- Ward S. M. (2017b). Lost in translation: social identity theory and the study of status in world politics. *International Studies Quarterly*, 61(4), 821–834.
- Wohlforth W. C. *et al.* (2017). Moral authority and status in international relations: good states and the social dimension of status seeking. *Review of International Studies*, 44(3), 526–546.

All the online sources were accessed between 13 and 20 Dec. 2022.